

Planning Application O/25/99534

Land at Denhams Corner, Snakemoor Lane, Eastleigh, SO32 2BW

Representative	Address	Comments
Keith Milner	3 BAKER ROW HORTON HEATH EASTLEIGH SO50 7QU	Object to this application due to the following issues: Overdevelopment of this area, loss of green spaces/biodiversity. This will remove natural habitat & affect flora & fauna. Increased pressure on local schools, GP surgeries & public transport network. Increased traffic, noise & air pollution affecting our health & wellbeing. Loss of privacy , overlooking our homes. Noise, dust issues will severely impact our quality of life.
Callum Smith	LANE END STABLES SNAKEMOOR LANE DURLEY BOTLEY SOUTHAMPTON SO32 2BW	As the owner and occupier of Lane End Stables, I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed housing development. As a resident of the area, I have several critical concerns that I believe important for myself and the local community. One of my most significant concerns is the devaluation of my property, my neighbours and surrounding residents. When I purchased my home, I did so under the pretence that the surrounding area would remain rural, with open farmland, and not be developed into a large scale development just a stones throw from my house. The introduction of this development would severely impact the value of our homes, as it fundamentally alters the nature of the neighbour hood from a peaceful, rural environment to an overdeveloped housing estate. I purchased my property based on the understanding that it would remain in a rural setting, with farmers fields and open spaces. The proposal to build a large housing development contradicts this original vision and the reasons myself and other's moved here in the first place. The current infrastructure, particularly the road access to the development, is insufficient to accommodate the significant increase in traffic that would result from a large development. Unfortunately this would cause undue stress on the existing road system, which is already inadequate, and would lead to increased congestion, safety risks, and potential accidents. The location of the access to the development is on a blind spot bend. This making it absurd, dangerous and unfeasible for 200 plus cars to enter in and out safely from a singular road onto a small country lane of which is already exacerbated from traffic. Having a single access road to an estate of 155 houses is severely inadequate and also negates safety concerns should a fire or medical emergency occur. My property and my neighbour at lane end house are not connected to mains sewerage, after enquiring about having ours connected we were informed that it was not feasible with the nearest connection point being too far away. I would make the assumption that this would also be the case for 155 houses and to be connected would require a big intervention. Having lived at my property I can whole heartedly and honestly say that there are frequent road traffic accidents/ incidents and many more near misses at Denhams corner. The addition of cars would of course increase this significantly. There is already an overabundance of housing in the area, with Boorley green and Horton one. The proposed development would add a significant number of homes to a region that is already becoming densely populated. This overdevelopment would negatively affect the local infrastructure, community amenities, and the environment, leading to overcrowding and a loss of the area's rural charm. Snakemoor lane is a small country lane with no footpaths and creating a path to Botley road would destroy ancient protected woodland. Once the path meets Botley road, there is also no where to go and in my opinion i believe without a doubt that with 40% social housing this estate would become an isolated hub rife with antisocial behaviour. Putting so many houses and people in close proximity to Scoreys copse / ancient woodland would of course put it at great risk of damage and threaten local wildlife. Schooling is also another issue and with so many developments locally this will put a strain on them. local shops at hedge end are already overburdened and traffic queues are frequent, this development will add to this. From my research i could see that most of the agricultural land where the development will be, is classed as grade 2 BMW (best most versatile)

		and is highly protected. The field is also currently rented to a local farmer and this summer he will be harvesting crops here. The farmer has expressed his upmost concern as should the development go ahead this would significantly effect his livelihood. The nation has been informed of farmers struggles within recent years and such developments on protected agricultural land only exacerbate the issue.
Rosie Parker	3 THE DROVE HORTON HEATH EASTLEIGH SO50 7NX	Formal Objection to Planning Application O/25/99534 – Outline Planning To Whom It May Concern, I write to object in the strongest possible terms to Planning Application O/25/99534 (Outline Planning). 1. Overdevelopment This proposal constitutes a clear case of overdevelopment in an already pressured area. The scale and density of the development are inappropriate and would significantly alter the character of the local environment, impacting the community's identity and cohesion. 2. Loss of Green Space and Biodiversity The site in question currently provides essential green space and habitat for local wildlife. Approval of this application would result in the destruction of natural habitats, leading to a serious loss of biodiversity. Such actions are wholly inconsistent with national and local commitments to tackle climate change and protect the natural environment. 3. Unsustainable Pressure on Local Infrastructure This development would place unacceptable strain on local infrastructure: - Schools are already oversubscribed. - GP surgeries are under pressure, with growing waiting times. - The public transport network is limited and would struggle to absorb the increased demand. These services are ill-equipped to handle the additional population that would result from this development. 4. Increased Pollution and Traffic There will be a significant increase in vehicle traffic, leading to: - Higher levels of air pollution. - Greater noise pollution, particularly during rush hours and construction phases. - Negative impacts on road safety and pedestrian accessibility. These consequences will directly affect the health and wellbeing of local residents, especially children and the elderly. 5. Loss of Privacy and Residential Amenity The plans indicate developments that will overlook existing homes, leading to a loss of privacy and visual intrusion. Furthermore, the construction process will bring prolonged disruption, noise, and dust, severely impacting the quality of life for current residents. In light of the above, I strongly urge Eastleigh Borough Council to refuse application O/25/99534 in its entirety. Yours faithfully, Rosie Parker
Mark Gosden	23 TELFORD GARDENS HEDGE END SOUTHAMPTON SO30 2TQ	I am writing to formally object to the application for outline planning permission to construct up to 150 dwellings on land adjacent to Denhams Corner, Snakemoor Lane, Eastleigh, SO32 2BW. My objection is based on my concerns with regard to the overdevelopment of this area and the inadequacy of existing infrastructure: 1. Overdevelopment of the area: The proposed addition of 150 dwellings represents a significant intensification of development in the area, which is already experiencing the pressure of overdevelopment. This scale of development is disproportionate to the existing character of the area and would be detrimental to areas such as Scoreys Copse. Additionally, the size of proposal will if allowed, place a strain on local resources. 2. Inadequate

		Road infrastructure: The current road infrastructure in the area is rural and already insufficient to cope with the existing traffic volumes. The addition of 150 new households if allowed will inevitably lead to a significant increase in traffic, resulting in increased congestion, longer journey times and potential safety issues in Snakemoor Lane, which currently has no pedestrian footways. The application fails to adequately address how a substantial increase in vehicle movements will be managed without negatively impacting the incumbent residents. 3. Oversubscribed health care provision: Local health care services, particularly GP practices, are already operating at or beyond capacity. The influx of a significant number of new residents from this development will place an unsustainable burden on these services, potentially leading to reduced access and quality for existing residents. 4. Education: The education provision in this and all adjacent areas is currently stretched, and there is a shortage of school placements for example, in the Durley area, there is a shortage of placements in years R and 3. Additionally, despite the construction of the new Deerpark school and Boorley Park Primary the Wildern Academy Trust still have significant problems with placement across a range of ages. I urge the planning authority to carefully consider these points and refuse this application on the grounds of overdevelopment and the detrimental impact it will have on the already strained local infrastructure. Thank you for your time and consideration Mark Gosden
Matthew Miller	42 MORANT CRESCENT BOTLEY SOUTHAMPTON SO32 2FY	Lack of road infrastructure due to EBC lack of investment in its county roads. Far too many homes for such a small part of land. More green belt lost forever. This area has had far too many homes already built.
Rachel Stovold	2 APPLEFORD HEATH COTTAGE BOTLEY ROAD HORTON HEATH EASTLEIGH SO50 7DT	I am objecting to the proposed application - O/25/99534. Reasons being: 1. Overdevelopment This area is already overdeveloped, with major housing projects like One Horton Heath (up to 2,500 homes) and Borley Green. Adding another 150 homes will overwhelm local services—healthcare, schools, infrastructure, and amenities—and intensify overcrowding. The proposed site is far too small for this scale of development, with no local amenities within walking distance. This will increase car dependency and traffic on already congested rural roads like Botley Road. The area also floods frequently in wetter months, especially opposite our property. Further development risks making this worse and endangering our home. 2. Highway Matters This development will generate unsustainable traffic levels in a small area already impacted by congestion, especially at peak times on Botley Road & Hedge End. Limited bus services and a lack of safe footpaths leave no alternative to car use, increasing the risk of accidents. Many surrounding roads are rural and high-speed (40–50mph), later in the day cars often enter Horton Heath at unsafe speeds. More vehicles will only escalate the danger to residents. 3. Excessive noise, dust or smells Construction will bring excessive noise, dust, and air pollution, harming the wellbeing of local residents. Long-term vehicle traffic will worsen this. My partner is Asthmatic and I am currently pregnant, our baby has a congenital heart defect—any increase in pollution poses a serious health concern for us and others. 4. Impact on Trees & conservation The site is an important green space for local wildlife, near ancient protected woodland. This development threatens biodiversity and risks irreversible environmental damage. 5. Impact on residential amenity This proposal undermines the character of Horton Heath and Durley, replacing village charm with urban sprawl. It would strain already limited

		services and infrastructure, worsen health and safety risks, and harm the environment and quality of life for existing residents.
Joanna Wedeman	Kytes lane, durley Southampton Hampshire United Kingdom	Cars pulling out onto Snakemoor lane would be dangerous. Visibility isn't great. This would put another approximately 300 cars on the lanes as there are no services nearby. Durley is a rural village in a very built up area and taking more countryside would be awful. You need to look at more brownfield sites.
Steven Bundy	86 MERION ROAD BOTLEY SOUTHAMPTON SO32 2SR	I have already previously sent an objection to this in a previous application a few month ago, so will not repeat but summarise. I object to this application due to the main following issues: Overdevelopment of this area - With the huge Boorley Park sites still being developed and the One Horton development, the roads already cannot cope. Green Space / Environment - There has also been a huge the loss of green space and natural land that cannot be undone. This will bring increase pollution, noise, and damage to habitats. Increased pressure on Area - Local schools, amenities, GP surgeries and the transport network, which already feel way beyond what they should be!
Elizabeth Gillett	Hillsmede, Durley Brook Road Durley Hampshire SO32 2AR United Kingdom	Formal Objection to Planning Application O/25/99534 - Land at Denham's Corner, SO32 2BW Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing to register my formal and most strenuous objection to the outline planning application for the development of up to 150 dwellings at Denham's Corner. This objection is submitted with full acknowledgement of the arguments presented by the applicant, particularly regarding the social benefits of providing up to 60 affordable homes. The applicant's Affordable Housing Statement suggests a housing crisis in the Borough, and this benefit must be afforded weight in the planning balance. However, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires that this benefit be weighed against the harm. A comprehensive review of all submitted documentation reveals a site so fundamentally constrained by environmental sensitivity, flood risk, and poor sustainability that the adverse impacts of development would be severe, lasting, and irreversible. The complex mitigation strategies proposed do not make an unsuitable site suitable; rather, they highlight its inherent fragility. When assessed as a whole, the cumulative adverse impacts significantly and demonstrably outweigh the acknowledged benefits, providing a clear reason for refusal. 1. Unacceptable Principle of Development & Severe Flood Risk The application site lies wholly within the designated Countryside, where large-scale residential development is contrary to Strategic Policy S5 of the Eastleigh Borough Local Plan. This fundamental policy conflict is critically compounded by the site's severe flood risk. The applicant's own Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy and Groundsure reports confirm that: A portion of the site lies within Flood Zone 2 and Flood Zone 3. The site is at High risk of flooding from rivers and groundwater. To permit a "More Vulnerable" residential use in an area with such a high probability of flooding is contrary to the sequential, risk-based approach of the NPPF and would place future residents at an unacceptable and entirely avoidable risk.

		2. Irreversible Harm to Protected Habitats, the Water Environment, and Landscape Character The site is an area of high ecological sensitivity. Its development would cause significant and irreversible harm. Impact on Irreplaceable Habitats: The applicant's documents confirm the on-site presence of Scorey's Copse, which is Designated Ancient & Semi-Natural Woodland. The proposal wraps around this irreplaceable habitat, which will inevitably lead to its deterioration through increased recreational pressure, changes in hydrology, and edge effects. NPPF paragraph 207(c) states development causing the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats should be refused. Harm to Protected Species: The Ecological Impact Assessment confirms the site is used by a bat assemblage of at least 'County' importance, including the rare Annex II Barbastelle bat. The development will damage established foraging and commuting corridors for this significant population. Damage to the Water Environment: The site lies within the Hamble Estuary Eutrophic Nitrate Vulnerable Zone (NVZ) and overlies a High Vulnerability Secondary A Aquifer. While a Nutrient Neutrality Assessment proposes an off-site mitigation scheme, the need for such a solution proves the inherent unsustainability of developing in this sensitive catchment. Harm to Landscape Character: The applicant's LVIA Viewpoints 1 and 2 show an open, agricultural field forming a soft, rural edge along Snakemoor Lane. The proposal will destroy this public view and replace it with a generic housing estate, causing major adverse harm to the character of the Horton Heath Undulating Farmland (LCA 9) contrary to Policies S5 and DM1. 3. Unsustainable Location & Severe Transport Impact While the applicant's Transport Assessment may conclude that the impact is not "severe" in technical terms, it fails to address the significant and unacceptable harm the development's traffic would cause to local character, amenity, and safety. Urbanisation of a Rural Lane: The primary vehicular access is from Snakemoor Lane. This is a lane that retains a distinctly semi-rural character. Channelling the 780+ new daily vehicle movements this development will generate onto this lane will irrevocably destroy its character, introducing a constant stream of residential traffic, noise, and disturbance. Increased Congestion: The new access point is just 100m from the already busy Denham's Corner roundabout. Adding this significant volume of traffic will inevitably increase queuing and delays at peak times, harming local amenity and potentially creating "rat-running" on other local lanes. Insufficient Mitigation: The proposed Travel Plan and "sustainable transport hub" are tokenistic measures that cannot overcome the fundamental unsustainability of the site's location. For a development detached from major services, the primary mode of transport will overwhelmingly be the private car, making the proposal inherently car-dependent. 4. Irreversible Loss of Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land The applicant's Agricultural Land Condition report confirms the site contains 2.9 hectares (28% of the site) of Grade 2 'Very Good' quality agricultural land. The permanent loss of this high-quality, non-renewable
--	--	---

		resource is a significant harm, contrary to NPPF guidance and Local Plan Policy S5(d). 5. Contamination Risks & Prematurity of Decision Contamination: The Desk Study report (AE0503) highlights multiple unquantified risks, including a historical "Refuse Heap" 101m from the site and numerous Waste Exemptions at the adjacent farm. The consultant's own recommendation for a "Discovery Strategy" because "unexpected soil conditions may be encountered" is an admission of uncertainty. It is unacceptable to grant outline permission before these risks are fully investigated. Archaeology: The Geophysical Survey identified several anomalies of "uncertain origin". The Hampshire County Archaeologist, in his formal response, states that these "should be subject to some level of follow up trial trenching". To grant outline permission before the nature of these features is established would be premature. Conclusion The planning balance in this case is clear. The benefit of delivering up to 60 affordable homes is acknowledged. However, this benefit does not override all other planning considerations. It must be weighed against the severe and cumulative harms that are demonstrably evidenced in the application documents: A fundamental conflict with the Local Plan by developing in the Countryside. An unacceptable risk to residents by building in Flood Zones 2 and 3. The deterioration of an irreplaceable habitat (Ancient Woodland) and harm to a bat population of County Importance. A severe, negative impact on the character and safety of the local road network. The permanent loss of Best and Most Versatile agricultural land. Unquantified risks from contamination and archaeology. These adverse impacts are so significant that they provide a clear and compelling reason for refusal. The application is unsound and I urge the Council to refuse it. Yours faithfully, Elizabeth Gillett
Anthony Gillett	Hillsmede, Durley Brook Road Durley Hampshire SO32 2AR United Kingdom	Dear Sir or Madam, Please accept this formal objection to the above outline application for up to 150 dwellings at Denham's Corner, Horton Heath. The scheme conflicts with the Eastleigh Borough Local Plan 2016-2036 and with the National Planning Policy Framework (2023) on numerous, fundamental grounds. Grounds for refusal Breach of spatial strategy and Countryside Gap. The land is outside the settlement boundary and entirely within the Horton Heath–Durley Countryside Gap. Introducing a housing estate would irreversibly erode the rural break that Policies S7 and S8 protect, contrary to NPPF §174(a). Landscape harm. The applicant's own LVIA records moderate adverse construction effects and minor adverse permanent effects on the Horton Heath Undulating Farmland LCA, yet omits year-0 photomontages, fails to examine the Gap's policy function and ignores cumulative urbanisation alongside the 2,500-home "One Horton Heath" allocation. This breaches Policies DM1 and DM2 and NPPF §135(b).

		Damage to irreplaceable habitats. The submitted layout shows rear gardens and SuDS basins as close as 10m to Scorey's Copse Ancient Woodland and the adjacent Purple-Moor-Grass / Rush-Pasture SINC. The applicant's own Ecological Impact Assessment requires a 20m dark-corridor buffer; failure to provide it would degrade irreplaceable habitats and potentially force translocation of rush-pasture soils, directly conflicting with Policy DM11 and NPPF §186. Unmitigated protected-species impacts. The EclA confirms a county-grade eight-species bat assemblage, including Annex II barbastelle; an active badger sett; and the presence of reptiles. No draft licence strategy, sensitive-lighting plan or enforceable mitigation hierarchy is provided, violating Policy DM11, the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 and the Habitats Regulations 2017. Failure of Biodiversity Net Gain trading rules. The developer's DEFRA Metric spreadsheet shows a 13.36-unit deficit in medium-distinctiveness grassland and flags the trading rule "not satisfied". With no off-site habitat secured, the scheme fails Policy DM13, the Environment Act 2021 and NPPF §187. The headline 41% "gain" is therefore illusory. Loss of priority hedgerows. Three sections of priority hedgerow would be removed for access and visibility splays without secured like-for-like replacement, contrary to Policy DM25 and the biodiversity duty under the NERC Act 2006. Inadequate flood-risk and drainage strategy. Both attenuation basins are sited in an area Groundsure maps as high groundwater-flood risk. No groundwater monitoring or fluvial climate-change modelling is supplied, and the basins cut into the sensitive rush-pasture SINC buffer. The proposal therefore fails to demonstrate safety for its lifetime or no increase in downstream risk, breaching Policies DM5 and DM6 and NPPF §168. Unresolved highway safety and capacity issues. Traffic modelling predicts 81 two-way AM-peak car trips, yet relies on visibility splays and pedestrian provision that Hampshire County Council has already deemed sub-standard. Snakemoor Lane remains a narrow, unlit carriageway with no footway; the Travel Plan offers only marketing measures and no funded infrastructure. Junction analysis shows the Denham's Corner roundabout operating at or beyond capacity even with an unfunded mitigation scheme. Safe access and a "non-severe" residual impact (NPPF §116) are therefore not proven, breaching Policy DM13. Premature and piecemeal development. The site sits between the strategic "One Horton Heath" allocation and the proposed Denham's Corner roundabout upgrade. Approving this scheme now would prejudice comprehensive, plan-led development of the wider area, conflicting with NPPF §16(d). Loss of best-and-most-versatile agricultural land. A detailed ALC survey identifies 2.9 ha of Grade 2 BMV land on the site. Policy S5(d) and NPPF §174(b) require such land to be safeguarded unless the benefits clearly outweigh the loss; no overriding benefit is demonstrated. No housing-land-supply shortfall. Eastleigh Borough Council's monitoring statement confirms a deliverable supply comfortably exceeding five years. The "tilted balance" in NPPF §11(d) is not engaged; normal plan-led determination must prevail. Procedural concern. Key drawings referenced in the Transport Assessment - swept-path layouts, vertical-plane visibility checks and detailed pedestrian-cycle designs - remain missing from the public register. Determining the application without full public scrutiny would be procedurally unsound under the Town & Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2015.
--	--	--

		Conclusion The proposal would urbanise protected countryside, undermine a strategic settlement gap, degrade irreplaceable habitats, fail statutory Biodiversity-Net-Gain requirements and expose residents and road users to unresolved flood and highway risks without any credible public benefit or housing-supply justification. I therefore urge the Council to refuse planning permission. Yours faithfully, Anthony Gillett
Gary Hall	5 BAKER ROW HORTON HEATH EASTLEIGH SO50 7QU	I am writing to formally object to Planning Application No: O/25/99534, which proposes the construction of 150 new dwellings in this area. The proposed development would have a detrimental and lasting impact on the local community for several reasons: 1. Strain on the Road Network: The existing road infrastructure is already under significant pressure. Adding 150 new homes — and therefore potentially hundreds of additional vehicles — would only exacerbate congestion and safety concerns. The area was not designed to accommodate such an increase in traffic flow, and there are no clear proposals for road improvements to mitigate this. 2. Construction Disruption: The volume of building works traffic required to facilitate a development of this scale would bring ongoing noise, disruption, and increased risk to pedestrians and existing road users. This is especially concerning given the proximity of schools, residential streets, and public footpaths. 3. Environmental Impact – Tree Removal: I strongly oppose any removal or destruction of trees to make way for pedestrian access or infrastructure. Given the recent and controversial loss of woodland at Scorey 's Copse, the local community is justifiably protective of remaining green spaces. Trees play a crucial role in supporting local wildlife, improving air quality, and maintaining the character of the area. 4. Cumulative Overdevelopment: With multiple large developments already approved or underway nearby, the area is at risk of becoming overdeveloped. There is a growing sense among residents that local infrastructure — including healthcare, schools, and public services — is being stretched beyond capacity. This application contributes to that imbalance without offering meaningful solutions or improvements. In summary, I urge the planning authority to carefully consider the long-term consequences of this proposal. The scale of the development is not sustainable, and the potential harm to the environment, infrastructure, and community wellbeing far outweighs any perceived benefits. I respectfully request that Planning Application No: O/25/99534 be refused.
Erin Marriner	Brook house, Durley brook road Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AR United Kingdom	Do not build here. You already have enough works going on and you never think about the road structure we as residents are already struggling get to and from places making life harder. This is a lovely country side place and it cannot cope with 150 house. Why not finish one project first before you look to build anywhere else
Colin Kennedy	7 THE DROVE HORTON HEATH EASTLEIGH SO50 7NX	There is already a massive council lead development going on and the traffic is already really bad. Why can we not have some green spaces left as we're losing our village identity.

Hannah Caunt	35 The Sawmills Durley Hampshire SO32 2EH United Kingdom	Our village is already under huge strain from surrounding development, and no infrastructure has been put in to support it. Our sewers and water treatment place are overflowing into the road since the immense Boorley Green development. The main road has become increasingly fast and busy with Horton Heath 1, Boorley Green and new building in Bishops Waltham. Schools are at capacity, and god forbid you need a GP appointment! Our local area is at capacity, adding more houses and absolutely no more facilities would be ludicrous, and especially with it being a green field site. I appreciate we need some new housing in the area, but there are far better locations than this.
Graham Beaton	Springside, Durley Brook Road Durley Hampshire SO32 2AR United Kingdom	I wish to object to the proposed development of 150 houses at Denhams Corner, Horton Heath. This significant increase in housing, adding to the multiple other developments already in the surrounding area, raises serious questions about the genuine need for yet more residential properties in this specific location. A primary concern is the exacerbation of traffic congestion. Connecting 150 new homes directly onto Snakemoor Lane, an already narrow and unsuitable road, will inevitably lead to substantial increases in vehicle movements, creating hazardous conditions and severe delays for existing residents. Furthermore, the proposed site at Denhams Corner is well-known to be boggy and presents a significant flood risk. Building such a large development here would not only place future residents in danger but also likely worsen flooding issues for neighbouring properties and the wider area. Finally, the environmental impact on this sensitive area cannot be overstated. Adding 150 houses to a flood-prone, boggy site will undoubtedly cause irreparable damage to local ecology and green spaces. For these reasons – the questionable necessity of further development, the unacceptable increase in traffic congestion, the inherent flood risk, and the negative environmental impact – I urge you to reject this application.
Grzegorz Swiech	Oakwood, Durley brook road Durley Hampshire So322ar United Kingdom	This is going crate a lot damage to beautiful country side. Road is not going cope with traffic.
Jo Helesfay- Evans	New Strathcona, Durley Brook Road, Durley, Hampshire, SO32 2AR (Winchester borough but directly adjacent to Eastleigh borough) Durley Hampshire SO32 2AR United Kingdom	I strongly object to this planning application. I live in Durley Brook Road and the local infrastructure cannot cope with yet another massive housing estate, we are already overloaded with new housing estates in every direction we leave the village. The local wildlife are suffering badly, losing their habitat as well as being killed by traffic, for instance there is a young deer nearby with a damaged leg living in a garden hedge! Durley Brook Road is used as a cut through and people go at speed, actually shaking the structure of the houses as well as causing excessive noise, it's dangerous. The local secondary schools are overloaded, the GP practices are overloaded. The local environment cannot cope with it - both wildlife and flood issues. Durley Brook Road is at the end of the line of an old exchange so the wifi is appalling and getting worse. Durley was a quiet little rural village, now it is noisy and part of an urban sprawl. Eastleigh already has more than enough housing estates, thousands of houses have gone up over the last few years, the overload of traffic can be seen everywhere, the roads up by Hedge End Retail Park get gridlocked and that's dangerous, cars couldn't get out if there were an emergency. I believe the potential site is within the Nitrate Vulnerable Zone which suggests a potential pollution problem. Scorer's Copse is designated ancient woodland so how does yet another housing estate benefit that, the rare barbastelle bats and other wildlife would object if they had a voice!
John Capon	24 The Sawmills Southampton	Planning Application Reference: O/25/99534

	Hampshire SO322EH United Kingdom	I am writing to oppose the proposed development of 150 houses off Snakemoor Lane for several reasons: High Flood Risk and Unsuitable Ground The land falls within Flood Zones 2 and 3 and is already at significant risk of groundwater flooding, as confirmed in the developer's own documents. The ground is not suitable for soakaways, meaning rainwater would have to be channelled into streams and ditches that are already under pressure, making flooding more likely both locally and further downstream. With heavier rainfall and less monitoring of groundwater levels in recent years, it is likely this risk will only get worse. This area is also marked as a Nitrate Vulnerable Zone within the Solent catchment. Any pollution here could easily harm the wider environment. Damage to Sensitive and Irreplaceable Environment The site is next to Scorey's Copse, which is a designated Ancient Woodland. It is also an important area for bats, including the rare Barbastelle bat, which are of particular importance to the county. Building here would cause permanent loss of ancient woodland and wildlife habitat, which cannot simply be replaced or recreated elsewhere. Unacceptable Impact on Local Roads and Safety This scheme would lead to over 780 extra car journeys every day, putting even more traffic onto Snakemoor Lane and Denham's Corner, both of which already struggle to cope. The Denham's Corner roundabout and Botley Road are already badly congested. Adding more cars will increase delays and risks for drivers, cyclists, and pedestrians. The developer's own transport report states that these rural roads were never intended for such high volumes of traffic. Permanent Loss of High-Quality Farmland This proposal would cover 2.9 hectares of Grade 2 farmland, which is classed as some of the best and most versatile soil in the UK. Such land is vital for growing food and storing carbon, and once lost beneath concrete and paving, it cannot be recovered. Potential Land Contamination The developer's Desk Study identifies an old refuse tip just 100 metres from the site and raises concerns about possible contamination from nearby farms. Their own consultant admits there may be "unexpected soil conditions," so it is not safe or sensible to approve new homes until all risks are properly investigated. Contrary to Local Planning Policy This land is marked as Countryside in the Local Plan, which specifically rules out development. Allowing this scheme would undermine the Local Plan, open the door to more speculative building on protected fields, and go against the council's own rules. Loss of the Last Green Gap
--	---	--

		If built, this estate would remove one of the last open spaces between villages, replacing countryside with housing and permanently changing the area's character. No Proven Local Housing Need Eastleigh Borough already meets the requirement for housing land supply for over five years, so there is no need for this speculative development. This proposal is not necessary to meet local or national targets and is in the wrong place. In summary: This development would bring lasting harm to the local community, environment, wildlife, farmland, and road safety. It goes against local planning policy and ignores the existing supply of housing land in the borough. For these reasons, I urge the council to refuse this application.
Samantha Hamilton	Parsonage Lane, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AD United Kingdom	This is an incredibly bad idea due to huge implications on already failing infrastructure. This village cannot cope anyway, and to add such a large number of houses is irresponsible and will impact current residents on a very large scale. Development like this should never be granted when it will have such a negative impact on people that already live in the area. Greedy developers should never be able to build and push themselves on local communities like this. There is so much building work happening everywhere.....things need to stop or be massively curtailed otherwise communities will crumble.
Colleen Humphreys	16 THE DROVE HORTON HEATH EASTLEIGH SO50 7NW	I cannot believe that it is even being suggested that yet more houses be built in the Horton Heath area. The Horton Heath One and Fir Tree Lane developments are bringing thousands of homes and therefore people and cars to the area, but the road network remains the same. Not to mention the total absence of a Doctors surgery to service all the people moving to the area. Another 155 houses, housing in excess of 300 people with their cars will gridlock the area and the added pressure on doctors surgeries will mean the health of residence will suffer as a consequence. If more houses must be built why do they all have to be built in Horton Heath? Stop building in Horton Heath.
Sylvia Young	5 Whitegate Durley So322as Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AS United Kingdom	Snakemoor lane is not suitable for any entrances or extra traffic. Bats live on this ancient woodland which floods every year and is not suitable to be built on. We already have enough building in this area. Denham corner can be dangerous and won't handle the extra traffic. This area should be left alone for the wildlife.
John Hamon	The Mount Netherhill Botley BOTLEY, SOUTHAMPTON Hampshire SO32 2BP GB	Oh NO! Not another green field destroyed for the reason of "We need more housing!" Maybe it is time to consider those who are ALREADY in housing and do not want their environment ruined. It is time that Eastleigh councillors stood up to the views of the existing local community for it is in fact they that have voted you in and YOU should be listening to them. I feel we are living in a time of great greed. No big plan or any forward thinking by Eastleigh is ending up just building anywhere without any consideration on the environment or how people should travel. Having lived in the area for many years I use Snakemoor Lane probably four times a week. The lane is narrow and busy with tricky bends,. Traffic is nearly always queuing at the roundabout. When considering planning permission and "We need more homes", just take into account the MASSIVE development outside your area such as Wellborne and Whitely. Choose areas where people do not automatically require cars, but instead can walk, cycle or bus to the things they need.

		I am AGAINST this ill considered development which would be yet another "blot on the landscape"and shows no consideration to existing dwellers.
Sheila Weeks	Swift cottages. Heathen st. Durley. Southampton Hampshire SO32 2BT United Kingdom	This land should never be built on. It is designated as countryside and is actually a flood plain. It will push flood water else where! The roads around the site will not be able to cope with the extra traffic and to allow access from Snakemoor Lane is an accident waiting to happen.
Iorna Cathie	Sunnyside Stapleford Lane Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2BU United Kingdom	Should this go ahead it will destroy nature where the site contains Scorey's Copse which is a vital ground for a bat population. This is a green gap which should not be built on. The drains in the area already suffer and flooding occurs due to failure of the drains coping, more houses will increase this problem 10 fold. Snakemoor Lane is already busy with traffic approaching Denham's Corner mini roundabout and building these houses will mean more traffic on our lanes. To put this in a nutshell, loss of precious green space and wildlife habitats, and further high risk of flooding.
Toby Ross	Hunters moon .Durley street Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AB United Kingdom	Unsuitable location for such a development, yet again Eastleigh planning department building right up to the boundary of Winchester and not supporting any infrastructure or needs of the local community of Durley and Bishops Waltham.
Linda Gardner	Mincingfield Lane, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2BR United Kingdom	This proposed development in an area designated as Countryside is not in keeping with the Local Plan and will set a dangerous precedent on green field sites in the area. Snakemoor Lane is a country road with narrow areas and the additional traffic will cause significant issues for the village of Durley. In addition the development will cause congestion on the already busy Denhams Roundabout. Finally this does not seem to be an appropriate area for development as the site is a high risk flood area and additional discharge into the River Hamble is likely to cause problems downstream
Tom Maughan	2 Durley Street Durley Hampshire SO32 2AB United Kingdom	I object to this development for the following reasons: The land is unsuitable for development and is a known flood risk. There is already a lack of infrastructure in the area, not just schools and doctors but also waste water treatment. Durley has had more than its fair share of flooding and drainage problems. Snakemoor Lane is a dangerous road as is. The surface is poor and there are several very large potholes along the edge which Eastleigh have repeatedly failed to address. The combination of gradients and blind bends at the Denham's Corner end is particularly dangerous. Vehicles come off the roundabout at speed and often on or over the central dividing line. Only today I was greeted by a lorry that had just turned onto Snakemoor Lane and was far enough over the line that I had to use the field entrance opposite Lane End to avoid a potential collision. Thankfully we were both going slowly and no-one was behind me or the lorry. The planned widening of the approach from Botley Road to two lanes will only make this worse as there will be a dedicated turn left lane. Going by the amount of broken bits of car bumpers and lights on the roundabout it is fair to assume that there are many more accidents than formally reported. The odd camber and poor sight lines do not contribute to a good flow of traffic. The road is utterly unsuitable for this proposed increase in traffic. Other new roundabouts in the area such as at the ones at Chalcroft way/Bubb Lane, Winchester Road/Kingsman Drive and Winchester Road/White Stork Road have been very poorly designed creating confusion and danger as drivers 'straight line' or try and overtake slower vehicles. I fear that the already very busy junction at Denham's Corner will get the same poor treatment by Eastleigh.

Michael Jehan	2 The Sawmills, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2EH United Kingdom	I object fully to yet more housing once more from Eastleigh Borough Council who seemed determined to wipe out any natural landscapes from Botley to Fair Oak! Whilst I appreciate applications must be heard surely this one must fail on so many grounds. The land at Denham's Corner is clearly HIGH RISK OF FLOODING and anyone who experienced the awful issues with drainage and flooding throughout Durley knows the water system if already broke. The land alone is steeped in history and containing as an ancient woodland and as the entrance to a valuable and diminishing area of green and pleasant land should be left untouched. Finally please consider how damaging more and more houses are to this rare green and pleasant area with the multitude of housing at Borley Green and the Botley bypass ripping up acres and acres of our wonderful country side.
Sarah Jackson	Hazelwood, Netherhill Farm, Netherhill Lane, Botley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2BP United Kingdom	I am objecting to this application as it is entirely inappropriate. Firstly it is located on an area of countryside, not identified in the local plan for development. This will result in the further loss of important green corridors which are vital for wildlife, but also people's health and well-being as studies have demonstrated that access to outside space is essential. The access point of the development in to Snakemoor Lane is ludicrous, the road and roundabout can't cope with existing traffic let alone more. The siting of such an estate in a flood zone is also irresponsible, how can they realistically deal with the implications of creating 150 houses with the suggestion to push more water into the River Hamble headwaters. The potential loss of a county important bat population of a rare woodland specialist bat, the Barbastelle is unacceptable. The proximity of the estate alone, with the additional light pollution and noise will impact the bats, let alone people and cats disturbing them and any loss of the woodland as part of the construction. Finally the estate is just not required, its not been identified in the local plan, nor does Eastleigh need this site to meet their targets. It is entirely inappropriate and irresponsible to even consider such a development.
ROBERT PRINN	Sweet Briar, Durley Brook Road, DURLEY, SOUTHAMPTON Hampshire SO32 2AR United Kingdom	We object to this application for the following reasons. A. I have read that the application refers to the land being of very good agricultural quality. I do not know how quality is measured but I have observed a crop being taken from it last year and it has been planted up again this year. It is a valuable farming asset and should not be sacrificed for development. B. The other area which is woodland must be kept not only to save the wildlife that relies on it but also to avoid the effect of its demise on climate change. C. Snakemoor Lane at the Denham's Corner end is narrow and dangerous without a footpath and is just not suitable to provide access to such a development. D. I understand the current Government is looking to Build, Build, Build but this area is classed as a Countryside gap and with all the other developments in the Borough building over it cannot be deemed necessary and be allowed.
Sarah Merritt	wolseley house the drove Southampton Hampshire so322ey United Kingdom	I strongly object to this application for the following:- The proposed development is situated in the countryside gap between Horton Heath and the village of Durley, as such it is not within any local plan within Eastleighs current strategic 5 year housing supply, as per NPFF 174 and 15 and against LPS7 and S8. As the land is currently used for farming and has been for many years, it is productive agricultural land and also includes a flood plain for the river that feeds into the Hamble. The loss of this land would be irreplaceable habitats for protected species such as bats, badger and also greater crested newts, which are known to be in that area. The access to the site is proposed to be from Snakemoor Lane, which is a narrow winding country lane with poor visibility and will inevitably result in road collisions. This is against LP DM5 and DM 6.

Allen Shawyer	Oaktree lodge Southampton Hampshire SO32 2BW United Kingdom	I strongly object to this development, for the following, the destruction of yet more wildlife habitat, infrastructure that is creaking at the seams, especially Snakemoor Lane, also flooding, the bridge under Snakemoor Lane is not large enough to take heavy rain, as it is without more development with it's associated water runoff . Also the lane is becoming more dangerous due to constant speeding.
Gary Walshe	37 BRYONY GARDENS HORTON HEATH EASTLEIGH SO50 7PT	I totally object to this application. The area is within the countryside gap which I believe breaks EBC own rules. The current infrastructure will not accommodate a further 150+ vehicles . Living in Horton Heath is becoming a nightmare with 100s of houses being built around us. A once quiet village is becoming the M25. Proposal is to close to protected woodland, where there has already been legal intervention required. Someone the development is on farmland! The area is also subject to flooding, where will the water go with displacement produced by 150 houses? We are already seeing increased flooding from One Horton Heath we don't wish to see it on the other side of our village. Road safety another major issue for the Botley road which is already accident waiting to happen due to the massive increase in traffic from Boorley Green. Yet another disaster waiting to happen under our Council which seems to ride roughshod over its longstanding residents. It has to stop.
Julie Smith	10 Lavender Court Fareham Hampshire Po15 7fd United Kingdom	I strongly object to this development. Snakemoor lane is a narrow country lane already exacerbated by traffic. The entrance to the proposed development is in a precarious location on a blind spot bend which would indefinitely result in congestion and traffic collisions. With no room for road expansion or access to Botley road this single entrance/exit proposal is dangerous and unfeasible. The field is active agricultural land and is mostly BMV this should be respected and not built upon. The land is within the countryside gap and if development goes ahead this would be breaching that. The infrastructure required for the waste water and sewage disposal would be a huge intervention and cost. Local sewage systems are already overloaded and overflowing, an addition of more houses will put the current system to breaking point which would inevitably result in pollution. While a need for housing is understood, there is an abundance of housing within the area and there is no necessity for the addition. Drs surgeries, schools, roads and supermarkets are overloaded as they are and the local area cannot deal with additional housing whilst the basic infrastructure of the area remains the same. More houses cannot keep being built without upgrading the foundations of the local community.
Derek Smith	10 lavender Court Fareham Hampshire Po15 7fd United Kingdom	I wish to object to the new development which has been put forward to have 155 properties built. My son has a property on snakemoor Lane. I have noticed how busy the area has become, especially near the Denhams Corner roundabout ! Snakemoor lane itself is a narrow lane with no foot paths . The additional entrance to new proposed housing will add to traffic too, which can get very congested at certain times of the day!
Sarah Delmege	Waterside, Durley Brook Road, Durley Southampton Hampshire So32 2ar United Kingdom	I object to this proposed development. Snakemoor Lane is unsafe for vehicular access particularly with the increased level of traffic caused by the extensive recent development within 2 miles of Durley. The proposed access on Snakemoor Lane is close to a tight bend with limited visibility. There is a limited bus service - only 2 buses a day - running at times not supportive of principle traffic flows i.e. rush hour and school start/finish times therefore this development will lead inevitably to significant further traffic issues.
Joyce Simmons	Newlands, Durley Brook Rd, Durley Southampton	i write to strongly OBJECT to the proposed development at Denhams Corner, which vehicular access/egress from the site, empties into

	Hampshire SO322AR United Kingdom	Snakemoor Lane, the access road to the old Durley village in Winchester district. This narrow lane {and the roundabout} is already far too busy, is restricted against HGVs, and the sightlines are very poor without removal of precious hedgerow. Snakemoor Lane continues into Durley Brook Rd and Durley Street , going through this linear village, and the proposed development will have a negative impact on Durley , causing many more vehicle movements. the site is assigned as 'countryside gap' not in keeping with EBC Local Plan. Eastleigh has identified new sites for housing within the borough for up to the next 5 years, and this site is not appropriate as not amongst them. If granted, anyone who owns a field could be encouraged to apply. Denhams Corner has been identified as a strategic green gap between Horton Heath and Durley, and should stay that way for posterity. there are huge other developments like Boorley and One Horton Heath. The site in question contains/is near to ancient woodland Scoreys Copse, and ponds, suitable foraging sites for rare bats like the Barbastelle, , amphibians like Great Crested Newts, reptiles, birds and animals. Such nature should not be destroyed or depleted, by human activity, pets light pollution etc. Good agricultural land is currently being used to grow maize; once concreted over can never be brought back into farmland and food production. the housing planned shows dense 2 storey + buildings, inappropriate for this area, and will be seen above the treeline from the main roads. Even more importantly, run off and drainage from the site , will exacerbate current environmental problems, causing {more} flooding, affecting roads and existing homes. Already S Water tankers take away excess during heavy rainfall to prevent road flooding and back fill to homes. I urge the council to reject this application., as it is wholly inappropriate.
Tony Salentino	Kytes Barn, Kytes Lane Durley Hampshire SO32 2AE United Kingdom	Snakemoor lane is too narrow. There are already too many properties around the entrance to Durley and that doesn't include the development of Horton 1. We do not need more properties to further ruin our roads and infrastructure
Mary Ingate	FLAT 4 THE GATEHOUSE BARNSLAND WEST END SOUTHAMPTON SO30 3AJ	i strongly object to the proposed development. Snakemoor lane is a small country lane already inundated with traffic, cars dangerously speed around the lanes blind bends, the location of the development would result in more traffic collisions and more congestion along with longer queues than those that already frequently occur along the lane to the roundabout. There is a sign saying snakemoor lane is not suitable for HGV's as it is too narrow and lorries will often cross over the central marker into the other lane. So what will happen if the development gets the approval hgv's will be involved in the construction of the site no doubt and the access is not possible nor safe. If aloud to go ahead this would be ludicrous and almost certainly result in road traffic collisions as there's no room for the expansion of snakemoor lane. I regularly visit my family and friend who live within sight of this beautiful field and often i see deer coming from the copse to graze and feed in the field, wildlife will be pushed away by the development. This field is mostly BMV agricultural land and it baffles me that this development can even be considered? there is already so much building occurring in the area, do not destroy active farmland for more houses this is not needed or is it the location to build. The roads, drs surgeries, schools and supermarkets are already overloaded please do not go ahead with this development.
Simon Finch	Durley Brook Road Durley Hampshire SO322AR United Kingdom	Planning Objection Application Reference: O/25/99534 Location: Land at Denham's Corner, Snakemoor Lane, Eastleigh, SO32 2BW I strongly object to the proposed development of 150 houses for the following reasons: Overdevelopment

		The area is already facing significant pressures from existing developments, including the nearby Boorley Park and One Horton Heath projects. Adding 150 houses will exacerbate congestion, strain local services, and compromise the rural character of Durley and Horton Heath. Environmental Impact The proposed site contains important wildlife habitats, including Scorey's Copse, which is designated ancient woodland. Development will lead to irreversible harm to local biodiversity, impacting protected species such as the Barbastelle bat and other wildlife. Additionally, the area serves as a floodplain; further development will worsen existing flood risks, affecting both the site and surrounding properties. Insufficient Infrastructure Local infrastructure is inadequate to support additional housing. This includes overcrowded schools, overstretched healthcare services, and inadequate road systems. The existing road network, particularly Snakemoor Lane, is narrow and lacks pedestrian footpaths, making it unsuitable for the increased traffic from the proposed development. The additional volume of vehicles will increase the risk of accidents and congestion at critical junctions, including Denham's Corner. Loss of Green Space The area is designated as countryside, and the development would result in the permanent loss of green space essential for community well-being and ecological balance. This not only affects local wildlife habitats but also diminishes the quality of life for existing residents who value the rural landscape. Flood Risk The site is identified within flood zones, with documented evidence of groundwater flooding. The risk posed to future residents and surrounding areas by allowing more impermeable surfaces, which would increase water runoff, is untenable. Community Well-being The proposed development threatens the overall character and identity of the village, leading to urban sprawl and loss of the community's rural charm. Residents have expressed concerns about the increased noise, pollution, and the pressure on local amenities, which will negatively affect their quality of life. Risk of Life In addition there is consistent speeding and dangerous drive that occurs on this road, which then follows into Durley Brook Road - past a school. Adding further congestion and cars to a rural road in this manner would seem dangerous to life, and specifically children. Hopefully any planning officer would not wish their decision to be on their conscience. In conclusion, I urge the council to reject this planning application based on the outlined concerns regarding overdevelopment, environmental impact, inadequate infrastructure, loss of green space, flood risk, and community well-being. This development is not in the best interests of the residents or the environment. Yours faithfully, Simon Finch
IRENE VIOLA	Adelaide Durley Brook Road DURLEY SOUTHAMPTON Hampshire so32 2ar United Kingdom	We strongly object to this application, we moved to Durley village in 1982. It was a rural village where the annual carnival passed along our street on Durley Brook Road. Santa and his elves would stop at all the houses in Durley Brook Road to surprise the children just before Christmas, together with the carol singers holding their lanterns. Few cars went through our village. Our children were safe playing in the wood copse and park since this friendly village was home to those who knew

		nearly everyone who lived here. The constant builds and heavy traffic have changed this village from a quiet, rural friendly village to a short cut for speeding cars. It is difficult to cross the roads by adults let alone children. The noise from the traffic stops conversations with neighbours in front gardens because they cannot hear what is being said. Unfortunately, your good will of building homes for those who need them, has destroyed the pleasant rural lives of those that chose to live in a village. But for whatever reason you have to destroy more lives this particular application is going to encourage even more second home owners to invest in renting to others. This will increase the number of strangers on short let and the already high level of traffic. We thought that the land for the proposed build was a protected designated woodland, and as such it was prohibited to build on this valued ecological habitat. But profit and greed easily out way the protection of wild animals and areas of beauty. Moreover, we strongly object to building on this land because it will become problematic due to increased risk of flooding. In the last few years we have seen our back garden waterlogged and our front garden flood from water running down Durley Brood Road. Beech Corner has often been heavily flooded causing traffic problems. Elevating the water table increases potential moisture problems like dampness and mould growth. There is an abundance of For Sale signs for houses in the surrounding area so why build yet more homes in a very unsuitable site?
Ann BARROW	Yewtrees, Durley Street, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AA United Kingdom	I am objecting to the proposal for 150 houses on the land at Denham's Corner, Horton Heath. My objections are: 1. This site lies with the Horton Heath-Durley Countryside Gap which was designated to prevent the merging of the two communities and to retain a rural setting. The land is designated as Countryside and consists of valuable agricultural land, some of it ranked as Grade 2. 2. Snakemoor Lane is narrow roadway with hedgerows on the road edge. It is extremely dangerous already to walk or cycle along this lane as there is no verge to escape to. It is set at 40 mph and traffic exiting the roundabout will be accelerating as it approaches the proposed access road to the site. Sightlines will not be good due to the hedgerows and exiting the site will be hazardous. The traffic density recorded earlier this year does not take into account the increase traffic expected once the existing developments are completed, including the huge One Horton Heath development. 3. Wildlife habitats in this area have already been severely restricted. Even if a small section of the development is reserved for wildlife they cannot exist in this area alone and need to be able to roam in safe, dark spaces and hedgerows to survive
CHRIS DRAKE	Walnut Tree Barn Manor Road Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AF GB	The Area is over developed already with more Housing estates still under construction. The infrastructure (Roads, Drainage and Water Supply) are already at full stretch with flooding at the Maddoxford Road Bridge when we have heavy rain. We need demarkation between settlements or it becomes one massive estate. The area for development breaks up Horton Heath and Durley.
Lee Ann Trimmer	11 THE DROVE HORTON HEATH EASTLEIGH SO50 7NX	This application is awful. How is there enough space there for what is proposed? It's bad enough what's happened at Boorley Park and Fair Oak and whiteley. There is too much traffic as it is now on the main road .
Chloe Lewis-Short	Hawthorne, Durley Street Durley Hampshire SO32 2AA United Kingdom	I strongly object to this development for multiple reasons including the following: - Destruction of productive farmland and wildlife rich land - The development is not in the plan and therefore there would be an additional strain on the already struggling infrastructure including road traffic - Road safety - snakemoor lane into Durley street has already seen increased traffic at high speeds and road traffic accidents as a result this would only increase the risk

Geoffrey Travers	The Elms Stapleford lane, Durley, Southampton southampton Hampshire SO32 2BU United Kingdom	I wish to object against this planning application. Firstly the proposal is in an environmentally sensitive location, it contains an ancient copse and wildlife and bats. Secondly the roads are in a rural area, snakesmore lane was not designed for the amount of traffic the development would add to Denhams corner. Also the area would be built in a high risk flood zone, Snakemore lane and large parts of Durley have been affected by flooding in recent years and this would only add to a exsiting area. On the left information provided above i would ask EBC to take this into consideration and refuse the planning application.
Shirley Travers	The Elms, Stapleford Lane, Durley. Southampton Hampshire SO32 2BU United Kingdom	I wish to object against this planning application. I live in Stapleford lane in Durley and in recent years the surrounding Lanes and Stapleford lane have been flooded. The proposal lies in a high risk flood zone and will only put our property and others in Durley at risk as in the floods in 2024 which resulted in us having to use bags to prevent our property from flooding. The proposed building site is also in an area which is sensitive to nature, there are bats, red kites and other rare wildlife that exist in this environment. there has already been to much habitats lost locally due to the Borley green developments, Horton one, and the Botley by pass. Durley will also struggle to cope with the increasing amount of traffic that this development would add. Stapleford lane and Snakemore lane are already increasingly busy and used as cut through, this would only add to the problem. Also i would like to point out that prime quality farmland would be lost forever, we have already had so much lost in the area. I would like EBC to refuse this application to protect the rural character and environment in the Durley area and find a more suitable site for development.
Karen Robins	Holly Cottage, Manor Road, Durley Durley, Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AF United Kingdom	This application is a joke. The proposed site is not allocated for development in the adopted Local Plan. Speculative development outside the Local Plan should not be allowed. The applicant's transport assessment is wrong when it says the location site is well connected. On the contrary it is situated on a narrow rural lane with an infrequent bus service. The services referred to are very limited. The site is not within walking distance of other services, and the development includes no provision for shops, schools, or health facilities. All this development will do is add yet more car congestion to an already over saturated road network. This is not sustainable. Snakemoor Lane is very narrow and very poorly maintained by the local authority and is in a very poor state. It has poor visibility and lined by hedgerows and has no pavements. It is already unsafe for motorists and cyclists and adding more traffic will make this worse. The plan does not address these fundamental access and transport issues. There are many large developments already approved or underway nearby and the area is already becoming overdeveloped. Anyone who lives in Durley or Horton Heath will tell you that local infrastructure — including healthcare, schools, and public services — is already stretched beyond capacity. This application makes that worse without solutions. The development would urbanise a rural landscape, causing irreversible harm to the character and appearance of the countryside. This is contrary to policies aimed at protecting rural settings and would set a precedent for further encroachment into greenfield land.

Charles and Rebecca Forrest	Amberwood Durley Brook Road, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AR United Kingdom	We wish to object to the proposal. * Highway safety and capacity: conflicts with Eastleigh Local Plan 2016-36 Section DM13(a-g) and NPPF Sections 115-116. We use Snakemoor Lane every day of the week. It is already a very dangerous route with hidden queueing at Denham's Corner Roundabout. Dangerous access, no visibility, no pavement, multiple accidents waiting to happen, especially given the push to use bicycles. * Countryside Gap: conflicts with Eastleigh Local Plan 2016-36 Sections 7-8 and NPPF Sections 15 and 174(a). Site lies inside Countryside Gap intended to keep urban settlements of Horton Heath and Durley separate. * Protected species: conflicts with Eastleigh Local Plan 2016-36 Section DM11(4-6); Wildlife & Conservation Act 1981; Habitats Regulations 2017 Regulation 55. Eight bat species are in the area including Barbastella barbastellus of county importance; great crested newts in watercourses. * Loss of farmland: conflicts with Eastleigh Local Plan 2016-36 Section S5(d) and NPPF Section 174(b). Proposal would involve loss of Best and Most Versatile farmland. Once gone, irretrievable. We request Eastleigh Borough Council refuse this application.
trevor richardson	SANDIACRE DURLEY STREET DURLEY SOUTHAMPTON Hampshire SO32 2AB United Kingdom	I wish to object to this proposed development. Snakemoor Lane is a rural road that already suffers from abuse from heavy goods vehicles, rat running from commuters, and speeding along its whole length. It carries local farm traffic, often large tractors and trailers so accessing the road can be problematic at the best of times. The access for this development would be on an already hazardous point and 700 odd extra vehicles using this point is nonsensical. This development is unnecessary given the very large and numerous housing developments currently taking place or already planned for in the very nearby areas. Eastleigh already has enough additional housing planned for its statutory needs. I do not believe that this proposed development would be financially able to complete the promised affordable housing it proposes or that it could be genuinely affordable to those who most need it. The site proposed is high quality farming land, capable of being financially successful as agricultural land and is in no way waste or redundant land, so should be retained for farming. This site is adjacent to Ancient Woodland which itself has already suffered from illegal attempts at development. Thankfully it is still preserved and protected but this new proposal is a threat to its survival, and to that of all the species it contains, of a wholly different magnitude. Durley and Horton Heath at this locality already suffers from poor water management and experiences frequent flooding events. The admission by the proposed developer that it cannot contain water management mitigation in its own boundaries is clear admission that this site is not suitable for the proposed development. Claiming that this development is needed because the country needs more housing is a lame claim. As stated above Eastleigh has ample land provision of its needs and what is needed is the right sort of housing, in the right places, near to existing facilities and infrastructures, for those who really need it. This proposed development is purely speculative and meets none of these criteria.
Sue Stephens	LANE END HOUSE SNAKEMOOR LANE DURLEY BOTLEY SOUTHAMPTON SO32 2BW	My house neighbours the proposed site at Denham's corner and I would like to object to this planning application due to the unsuitability of the land for housing. At present this is agricultural land with a break crop of maize to produce cattle feed, this would be a loss of farmland where a third of the site is Grade 2 agricultural soil, once concreted over this would be lost forever. Another reason this would be unsuitable is due to the significant risk of flooding, the groundwater-flood susceptibility is high and there is no continuous groundwater monitoring. This would also impact the diverse wildlife present in the area which I see all the time around my garden and hedgerows, including badgers, bats, deer and slow-worms. The woodland at Scorey's corpse is ancient woodland with a TPO, which crosses into my own garden, and is irreplaceable as a habitat for wildlife.

		Another reason for my objection is the fact that Snakemoor lane has no pavements for pedestrians between the roundabout and the junction of church lane and so this would be very dangerous with the increase in traffic. At present it's a challenging to pull out safely onto Snakemoor lane from my driveway due to blind bends and 40mph traffic and so the increase in traffic would cause even more issues. The surrounding area under Eastleigh council has more than a five-year stock of housing sites, there has been permission granted for many developments locally and this would be strain on resources and the road network and it is an unnecessary development encroaching within the Horton-Heath Durley countryside gap (Policy S8, para 5.23). In conclusion I strongly object as a very close neighbour to the site as this would affect the residents and wildlife in many ways and the area is wholly unsuitable for development.
Christopher Davidson	Edwinsfield, Oakmore Park, Durley, Southampton, SO32 2NU Southampton, UK Hampshire SO32 2NU United Kingdom	I object to this application because: 1. The land is designated as Countryside in the Eastleigh Borough and it forms part of the gap between Horton Heath and Durley. Building houses on this land would be significantly detrimental to that separation and would have an urbanising effect on the character of the land. It is not in Eastleigh Borough's plan for housing development (Local plan LP S7 and S8) 2. Scorey's Copse is Ancient Woodland and the proposed development both encroaches into it and by being alongside will have an impact. The Council has already acted when a landowner bulldozed part of the copse. The copse must be protected (Local Plan DM-1(a-c)) 3. There are badgers, bats, newts and other protected species in Storey's Copse and in the site. (Local Plan DM-11 (4-6)) 4. The newsletter from Southern Strategic Land says that there will be a net gain for biodiversity however in the application the legal test is marked "Failed". (Local Plan DM-13(1-4)) 4. As one drives down Snakemoor Lane from Denham's Roundabout one senses that is entering countryside. The visual impact will be affected as the houses will be visible from there as well as from Botley Road and Bubb Lane. (Local Plan DM-1(a-c)) 5. There is a high flood risk. Parts of the site lie in flood zones and there is marsh land. Stormwater would be released into the ditch that feeds the Hamble headwaters. There are already flooding problems on Snakemoor Lane and the development will exacerbate them. (Local Plan DM-5(1-4)) 6. The increased traffic onto Snakemoor Lane and Denham's Roundabout will be detrimental to the roads which are already very busy and that is before One Horton Heath is built. (Local Plan DM-13(a-g)) 7. The site's access to Snakemoor Lane would be dangerous. Traffic coming from the roundabout and up Snakemoor Lane will not see the entrance. There are no pavements. Cycling is already dangerous on Snakemoor Lane. 8. There is already a very large development nearby at One Horton Heath. This development is not linked to it and will only stretch the resources that are required to support the larger development.
David Coates	Wingletang Durley Street, Durley Southampton Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AB United Kingdom	I would like to object to this application. This development would increase the amount of traffic on an already overused and poorly maintained country road. the entrance to the development is in a very unsuitable location, as it is only a short distance to the badly designed roundabout at Denham's corner and also on a road that carries a lot of traffic, which most drivers are unable to adhere to the speed limit at the moment, which increases the chances of accidents. There is also the loss of valuable farmland. Trees, grass, native flowers and wildlife do not thrive when the environment consists of concrete, tarmac and buildings. The impact on local waterways will cause more problems for local people and properties in the area because of an inefficient and over stretched drainage system. The wildlife in the area will suffer as more of their habitat will be destroyed for ever. I believe the developers are trying to take advantage of the current governments increasingly relaxed rules on building anywhere and

		everywhere and local government should begin to have some consideration and concern for the people already living in their area who continue to pay ever increasing taxes to them.
Lucian Warwick-Haller	6, Mincingfield Terrace, Mincingfield Lane, Durley, Botley. Hants. SOUTHAMPTON Hampshire SO322BR United Kingdom	I object for various reasons. I list only five below - 1. Access to the site. The traffic increase according to the developer would be around 780 car journeys per day. Snakemoor Lane and the Denham's Corner roundabout already suffer from heavy traffic. The Snakemoor Lane is narrow and dangerous; walking or cycling is hazardous as there is no pavement and the road is bordered closely by hedges. There is no verge for walkers to step off the road. The steepness of the climb towards Denham's Corner from Durley makes cycling slow and impedes motorised traffic. To avoid this, hedges would have to be removed which would break Eastleigh BC's Local plan policy number Development Management (DM) 25 . On EBC's Safe and convenient access to highways policies, it seems to offend greatly against DM 13. 2. It would largely destroy the settlement gap between Horton Heath and Durley, designed to avoid the two developments from forming one town. This would breach Eastleigh's Local plan policies S7 and S8, together with National Planning Policy Framework guidance. 3. The site abuts Scorey's Copse, which is rare Ancient woodland and is supposed to be protected countryside. The proximity of the development to this vulnerable environment would impair the wildlife value of the copse considerably. It seems to breach EBC's Local Plan policy on nature conservation, DM11, sections 1 to 3, 4. Drainage. There has long been flooding in the neighbouring parish of Durley due to the inadequacies of the local water system. The developer envisages discharging flood waters into the local stream leading to the R. Hamble, which would exacerbate the drainage and sewage problems in Durley. Low lying parts of the site are wet and classed as Flood Zone 2. A Sustainable Drainage scheme is planned, with Southern Water commenting that "the applicant needs to ensure arrangements for long-term maintenance of the SuDs facilities " to ensure its permanent effectiveness. We cannot be confident that this will come about. The proposal seems to be contrary to EBC Local Plan policies DM5 and DM6. 5. Loss of farmland. According to the developer's report, 2.9 hectares of good agricultural land will be built over. There is increased need for self-sufficiency in food supply. The proposal seems to run counter to EBC Local Plan Strategic policy S 5.
Alan Saunders	Durley Mews, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2ER United Kingdom	I cannot reconcile this application with the Eastleigh Borough Local Plan 2016-2036 where I find this statement at the end of Chapter 4 - "The Key Diagram illustrates the Council's strategy for the location of new development in the Borough, related transport improvements and the protection of the countryside and coast". The statement is immediately followed by Figure 3: Key Diagram - in reality a map of the Borough with an accompanying key. This diagram CLEARLY DESIGNATES the proposed site (for the development that is the subject of this planning application) as COUNTRYSIDE. How then can the Council permit a developer to build houses on land which its own agreed Local Plan retains as countryside? Whilst as a Durley resident I do not live that close to Snakemoor Lane and the proposed development I do traverse the Denhams Corner roundabout regularly and frequently. I understand the applicant concedes that the 150 houses will generate some estimated number of extra daily journeys and I wonder how scientific that estimate is; householders would undoubtedly mostly leave and return, probably daily, in their own vehicles since the adjacent hourly bus service is only good for a limited number of destinations. Added to this everyone now

		experiences the arrival and departure of frequent parcel delivery vans which would add to the residents' own vehicle movements. Since vehicle access is proposed via Snakemoor Lane the development would discharge turning vehicles into existing 2-way traffic streams that appear round blind bends in this narrow lane; only a new roundabout or traffic lights would render this a safe egress but, in any event, the development would discharge yet more vehicles onto a local road network that is barely adequate already. Furthermore, the Horton Heath One development (when it comes on stream) will inevitably seriously add to road congestion at Denhams Corner. I don't have the time or expertise to raise other legitimate objections to this planning application but it nevertheless violates several other treasured environmental features including ancient woodland, protected species and some high quality farmland. There is also the risk that local flooding would be worsened by the development, especially given that due to climate change, rainfall when it happens is now a lot more extreme than it used to be. I hope the foregoing and the submissions of many other objectors will serve to persuade the Council and its planners to refuse this unwelcome application.
Rory O'Brien	Church View, Durley Brook Road Durley Hampshire SO32 2AR United Kingdom	Planning Application Reference: O/25/99534 I am writing to object to the proposed development of 150 houses off Snakemoor Lane. This land is explicitly designated as countryside and 'green gap'. Developing here would mean ignoring Eastleigh Borough Council's own local plan. The whole point of the current land designation is that it is not to be built on. The land is flood zones 2 and 3, where the NPPF says new housing developments should be avoided. The developer themselves identify it as at significant risk of flooding. We can confirm that flooding is a serious problem in this area, and that ground water is not well managed. The ground is unsuitable for soakaways, so existing drainage would become (even more) overwhelmed. Flooding will be inevitable. The land is next to designated Ancient Woodland - Scorey's Copse. It is an important area for rare bats, reptiles and other animals, as well as a large variety of different bird species, and building here would cause permanent loss of ancient woodland and wildlife habitat. Snakemoor lane already has a serious problem with traffic volumes and speeding. Snakemoor Lane, Durley Brook Road, Botley Road and Denham's Corner, all struggle to cope with traffic already. Adding to this traffic is irresponsible and unsafe. Vehicles come off the roundabout too fast, often on the wrong side, and then speed along Snakemoor lane and Durley Brook Road. There have been previous road traffic collisions with injuries. The Denham's Corner roundabout and Botley Road are already badly congested, as are the roads into Hedge End retail parks and Hedge End village. Adding more cars will increase traffic and risks for drivers, cyclists, and pedestrians, and result in a large number of delays (which is bad safety, stress, noise, the environment and the economy). The developer's own transport report states that these rural lanes have never been meant for so much traffic. Cycling and walking are already very dangerous in this area due to the lack on infrastructure, and the bus services are far too infrequent. The pedestrian 'provision' which is supposedly 'in close proximity' is nearly 200m away along a road that it is very unsafe to walk along! Also, the new, very large Boorley Park development is less than 1 mile down the road, further exacerbating these problems.

		Local schools, doctors, dentists and parking are already overwhelmed and cannot cope. This development would only make matters worse. Durley Primary School is already well over subscribed and there is no more parking available. Allowing this development would go against the council's own rules, and mean losing one of the last open green spaces between villages, replacing green space with houses and completely changing the rural character of the area. A reason people buy houses in this area is due to the beautiful rural landscape, which with this development, would no longer be the case. Eastleigh Borough Council already has a plan to meet the housing demand for over five years. I urge the council to refuse this application.
Gideon Hale	Oakmore House, Oakmore Park, Snakemoor Lane, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2NU United Kingdom	Planning Application O/25/99534 I object to this planning application on the following grounds. Landscape and visual harm Policy conflict: Eastleigh Local Plan 2016-36 DM1(a-c), DM2(a-c). NPPF §135(b) The assessment of landscape in the supporting Landscape and Visual Impact assessment was limited and specifically excluded views from properties to the north of the site. The development will be clearly visible from Oakmore Park to the North of the site, where properties are at a greater elevation, this will be particularly noticeable once trees have lost their leaves. The visual impact from this direction will be significant, which is probably why it was excluded from the supporting documents. These properties and others in the local area currently enjoy an environment free of light pollution and this will change forever. Added to this the noise of construction of 150 properties, later by the noise from 150 households and their vehicles, this peaceful setting will be lost. Protected Species Policy conflict: Eastleigh Local Plan 2016-36 DM11(4-6): W&C Act 1981: Habitats Regs 2017 (Reg55) The impact on the environment will be much greater than is being conveyed by the supporting documents, specific omissions regarding wildlife and habitat are – • Buzzards flying overhead, believed to be nesting close to the site • Mistle Thrush, classified as a red (high concern) species in gardens at Oakmore Park. • Kingfisher (protected species) in gardens at Oakmore Park. • In total over 30 species of birds have been identified locally with the Merlin Bird ID app. • Badgers are much closer than indicated in Ecological Assessment, certainly not 0.9km away as reported. Setts are evident within Scoreys Copse and traverse adjacent fields to my garden located within 500m to the north of the site. There has been no survey of a woodland pond (20m x 8m) that exists closer to the site than any other pond, this is located within 100m of the site and is a significant oversight. It isn't known whether this pond is habitat for Great Crested Newts, but it needs to be determined ahead of a planning decision. Further to this, the Naturespace Impact Risk Survey for Hampshire-Eastleigh and Hampshire-Winchester City map covering the site indicates that suitable habitat and the probability of Great Crested Newts in the area designated for traffic access is at the "Highly suitable habitat"

		(Red) level. With other portions at the "Suitable habitat" (Orange) level over a high percentage of the proposed development. Reference https://naturespaceuk.com/district-licensing/impact-map/ Regarding reptiles, I suggest that the local snake population is higher than indicated, I've no idea what existing records were used for the Ecological report, there is an abundance of reptiles locally, specifically grass snakes to the north. One clue to the snake population is in the name of the road on which the planning application is being made. Reference SAXON CHARTERS AND LANDSCAPE EVOLUTION IN THESOUTH-CENTRAL HAMPSHIRE BASIN By CHRISTOPHER K CURRIE Snakemoor NGR: SU 502163 'moorland frequented by snakes' Highway safety & capacity Policy conflict: Eastleigh Local Plan 2016-36 DM13(a-g) NPPF §115, §116 Regarding transport, access to this site via Snakemoor Lane will make it much more dangerous for road users of Snakemoor and for residents in the new development. Access to my driveway is within 200m of the site and peak traffic seems higher than supporting documents indicate. More importantly we have no knowledge of the impact on road use following construction of an additional planned 2000+ houses within a mile of this proposed development, there has been no clear mention of this traffic in supporting documents. Many new residents will use Snakemoor Lane and so the measured traffic numbers in DN/NS/24478-02a are meaningless. Further to this the number of houses already constructed in the area since 2023 such as Boorley Gardens renders the current observations from 2023 inadmissible. Snakemoor Lane is already suffering from existing traffic flows, large potholes currently exist and have done so for several months with no attempt to fix them. Their occurrence will rise as traffic increases, causing a hazard to all road users. The transition from absorbent soil to housing and infrastructure will increase surface water on Snakemoor Lane and add further risk to road users. Housing supply is already met Policy conflict: NPPF §11(d) fn8 There is no imminent requirement for a development as the 5 year housing supply has been met. Locally significant land has been allocated for development and still not been built on. Nobody yet knows the true impact the planned construction will have on the surrounding area; this planning application ignores this provision, and yet more bland developments are not the answer for a community. To build this unnecessary housing on good quality farmland makes no sense. Eastleigh Borough Council also expressed doubts about the suitability of this site in "SLAA site submitted for consideration in 2016". Under the section on suitability is the wording - "As a result, this site and other sites in the vicinity of Denhams Corner are of greater importance in preventing the complete coalescence of the settlements, making this site unsuitable for residential development without a significant change in local plan policy." I am not aware of a significant change to planning policy, particularly when no further capacity is currently required to meet your target. In Summary
--	--	---

		The various reports submitted in support of the application have been heavily biased in favour of this application and is not necessarily a full representation of the facts. I have spent time looking at the supporting documentation for the proposed development and find it too selective in its scope. There are many more reasons to not develop this site than reasons to do so, this portion of countryside will be lost forever. I request that Eastleigh Borough Council refuse this application on the grounds I have laid out above.
Megan Coates	Wingletang, Durley Street Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AB United Kingdom	I would like to object to the application. What concerns me is the issue of drainage and flooding in Durley. As anyone can see, when it rains the roads quickly turn into streams, the water flowing towards the lower end of the village. Two hundred years ago Durley landowners and the local authority tried to address the problems with drainage through the village. A lot of work was done to try to improve the roads and drainage, but presumably due to the geology of the area, surface water and poor drainage seems to have always been a problem. Now, in recent years, ditches have either been removed or filled in, or flattened by increasing numbers of cars ploughing their way through the village. This hasn't helped the flooding and drainage problem either. 150 more dwellings isn't going to improve the drainage and flooding situation for any of us.
Judith Owen	Church Cottage, Greenwood Lane, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AP United Kingdom	LETTER OF OBJECTION PLANNING APPLICATION No: O/25/99534 Dear Sir, I strongly object to the planning application O/25/99534 on the grounds stated below: COUNTRYSIDE GAP IN EASTLEIGH BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN 2016-2036 Eastleigh's Local plan deliberately draws a settlement edge around Horton Heath and labels the field at Denhams Corner as a protected countryside gap. This application is in contravention of the STRATEGIC POLICY S7, New development in the countryside. Protection of the countryside gaps. I am sure I need not remind you that "countryside is defined as all the areas outside the urban edge as defined on your policies map including river valleys and ancient woodland". STRATEGIC POLICY S8 Protection of the countryside gaps. It states that in the countryside gaps, development which physically or visually diminishes the gap, or has an urbanising effect detrimental to the openness of the gap, the character of the countryside or separate identity of the adjoining settlement WILL NOT be permitted. 2) LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL HARM. The developer's consultants admits themselves that the countryside character would suffer permanent harm. No amount of roadside planting will hide two and a half storey roofs or the glow of 150 streetlights. See Local Plan DM1 (a-c), DM2 (a-c) and NPPF Para135(b) & DMI criterion iv 3) IRREPLACEABLE HABITATS AND PROTECTED SPECIES The wildlife corridor between the Ancient Woodland and this proposed development will be severed. Designated ancient woodland (Scorey's Copse) is a key feeding ground for a population of the rare Barbastelle bat. National Guidance insists on a 20 metre dark buffer and yet the layout shows a squeezing of back gardens and drainage ponds right up to the tree line. See Local plan DM11(1-3)& (4-6), NPPF Para 186, W&C Act 1981; Habitats Regs 2017 (Reg55) 4) FLOOD-RISK AND DRAINAGE. Climate change will exacerbate flooding in an already wet area. Development should be aimed at areas of lower risk. When determining any planning application authorities should ensure that the flood risk is not increased elsewhere. DM5 (1-4),

		DM6, NPPF Para 168 and Section 14 Para 181 & SuDS & Groundwater 2020. The developer's own Flood risk assessment and Groundsure environmental reports confirm that the site is unsafe for the following reasons: i) It lies within the Flood Zones 2 and 3 where the NPPF says new housing development should be avoided. ii) It has a high risk of groundwater flooding. iii) The developer's own drainage strategy notes that the ground is unsuitable for soakaways, meaning that surface water will have to be managed via ponds (SuDS) before being discharged into already overloaded watercourses. Durley is renowned for having flooding problems and this will only exacerbate the issue further downstream. 5) HIGHWAY SAFETY AND CAPACITY. The developer's Transport Assessment confirms the site will add hundreds of extra cars onto our local roads every day. Snakemoor Lane is a narrow rural lane and is already having to deal with the extra traffic created from the new housing developments in Botley Road and Winchester Road not the mention the development at One Horton Heath when it is built. Snakemoor Lane, Durley Brook Road and Durley Street cannot cope with the added traffic flow you will create. There are no pavements along Snakemoor Lane for pedestrians and cyclists take their lives in their hands when navigating it due to the restricted width of the lane and blind bends. DM13 (a-g) NPPF Para 115 and 116. The future increase in traffic flow is not mentioned in this application only the current flow which is very disingenuous. 6) NHS COVER. The Local Planning Authority Engagement Team have stated that the combined medical centres providing medical care have insufficient capacity for an estimated 353 new residents and are UNABLE to absorb the increased population arising from this proposed development. 7) SCHOOLS. The inability to educate the children in local schools in this area is a fundamental problem. Durley School is full and is available for Durley residents only. Wyvern and Berrywood and Wildern are full, Deer Park School is currently at capacity and is oversubscribed. One Horton Heath is only proposing to build a primary school, so what happens to the secondary school children who are not catered for? This of course does NOT allow for the privately educated children who are transferring to State education of which there are thousands. 8) 5 YEAR HOUSING SUPPLY. Eastleigh already has more than a five year stock of housing sites. National rules say speculative schemes outside the plan should be refused. There is simply no need to "raid" the Countryside Gap. In conclusion I would add that in the Planning Application under section "Urban Design Comments " under "Site Context" the Planning Officer, Gary Osmond, has said " Given the location of the site within the countryside, there is an in principle objection to this form of development". I REST MY CASE. Yours truly Mrs Judith Owen
Jan Margetson	Beech Corner Cottage Durley Brook Road Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AR United Kingdom	I strongly object to the above application for a plethora of reasons too numerous to note below but here goes. The road through Durley is already like a race track, and the surface is in dire need of repair, and cannot cope with any more traffic, which will be generated by the development. Snakemoor Lane is dangerous, you take your life into your hands if you try to walk or ride a bicycle along it and is totally unsuitable for the vehicular entrance.

		The proposed site for the development is on a flood plane and is also a site of significant ecological value, being the home of Great Crested Newts and Barbastelle Bats. The infer structure cannot cope with more houses. When it rains my property and the road outside floods, nobody slows down, which causes bow waves. The toilet water rises and shower can't drain. There is a colony of Barbastella bats, a Badger Set, Slow-Worms and also a population of Greater Crested Newts which should be protected. Building on this site would take away fertile farmland, which would never be able to be used again and we need as much farmland as possible to produce food for our ever increasing population. Apparently Eastleigh Borough have designated this land as Countryside and developing it would ignore the council's own Local Plan. It is called a "Countryside Gap" for a reason, it should not be built on. Eastleigh has enough proposed building sites in the area, some already on flood planes, which have been flooded in the past 12 months.
Nigel Granger	Gardenstown, Durley Brook Road, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO322AR United Kingdom	I object to the above application. An unwanted development in a poorly located location, especially when there is an development of 3000 homes already started nearby. Apart from the destruction of any green belt land on the boundary of Eastleigh/Winchester districts and its affect on the local wildlife and water courses. The affect of a poorly placed estate entrance onto a country lane will increase the traffic sustainably after construction, and making the Snakemoor Road /roundabout junction even more dangerous than it is now where the road narrow so that it difficult two two 'transit 'type vans to safely pass, let along when the buses or HGV try to pass each others. The proposed redevelopment of the roundabout does not take into account the narrowing of Snakemoor Road between the whole section of road between the roundabout and the entrances either side within 75m of the roundabout. The proposed entrance does not allow for any footpaths either side of Snakemoor Lane to the south or north to allow pedestrian access to the site. The extra 150 plus vehicles this estate would generate would require a fairy major upgrade to the poorly maintained state and width of Snakemoor Lane. A better entrance , if the estate goes ahead, would be to replace the footpath through the woods off Botley Road with a road. There is no footpath planned for access into Durley where its likely that some of the children may attend, making the necessary use of cars to get them there and back.
Graham Sinclair	9 THE DROVE HORTON HEATH EASTLEIGH SO50 7NX	This application should be rejected as the current area is already saturated with new housing both in Boorley Green and Fair Oak and Horton Heath. There is no need for another development which would add hundreds of vehicles and cram them onto a very narrow access road which will be dangerous. There is no infrastructure to cater for the additional 150 families in Horton Heath and therefore will overburden the existing community.
Michael Gillett	2 HILLDENE WAY WEST END SOUTHAMPTON SO30 3DW	I am writing to express my strong objection to the outline planning application for 150 dwellings on land at Denham's Corner. While the need for new housing, particularly affordable housing, is well understood, it does not justify choosing a site that is so clearly unsuitable and unsustainable. The proposal is in direct conflict with Eastleigh's own Local Plan and would cause significant and lasting harm to our local area. My objection is based on the following principal concerns: The site is designated as protected Countryside, and this development breaches the Council's core strategy for the area. The traffic generated will be severe, urbanising a rural lane and creating an unacceptable impact on the local road network. The proposal seeks to build homes on land with a High risk of flooding.

		The development will cause irreversible damage to protected habitats and valuable farmland. These points are expanded upon below, using evidence from the applicant's own submitted reports. 1. Unacceptable Development in Protected Countryside The foundation of the Council's planning strategy is to protect the countryside from speculative and inappropriate development. This site is designated as Countryside in the Eastleigh Borough Local Plan 2016-2036, where large-scale housing estates are not permitted under Policy S5. The applicant's own Planning Statement acknowledges that this proposal is in direct contravention of this policy. Approving this application would undermine the integrity of the recently adopted Local Plan, rendering its protections meaningless and setting a harmful precedent for further development on other protected greenfield sites. 2. Severe Transport Impact and Unsustainable Location The development will place an unsustainable burden on local infrastructure and irrevocably harm the character of the area. The applicant's Transport Assessment and Air Quality Assessment confirm the scheme will generate over 780 additional vehicle movements per day. The primary access for these vehicles will be onto Snakemoor Lane, a road which retains a distinct rural character. This volume of traffic will destroy the lane's amenity and create new safety hazards for pedestrians and cyclists. The proposed mitigation measures detailed in the Travel Plan, such as a "sustainable transport hub", are insufficient to alter the fundamentally car-dependent nature of a 150-home estate in this location, making the proposal unsustainable. 3. High Flood Risk to Future Residents It is deeply concerning that a development of this scale is proposed on land known to be at risk of flooding. The applicant's own Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy and Groundsure environmental reports confirm that: The site has a High risk of flooding from rivers and groundwater. A portion of the site lies within Flood Zones 2 and 3, where national policy strictly limits "More Vulnerable" development such as housing. Building new family homes in this location would represent a failure of the plan-led system to ensure development is safe and resilient for future generations. 4. Destruction of Key Environmental Assets The site is not low-grade, empty land; it is a functioning ecosystem and a valuable resource. Wildlife Habitats: The Ecological Impact Assessment has confirmed the site is a key habitat for a bat population of at least 'County' importance, including the rare Barbastelle bat. The development will also cause deterioration to the on-site Designated Ancient Woodland at Scorey's Copse through increased disturbance and edge effects. The proposal to remove sections of established hedgerow will further fragment these vital habitats.
--	--	---

		Valuable Farmland: The Agricultural Land Condition report confirms that 2.9 hectares of the site is Grade 2 'Very Good' quality agricultural land. This Best and Most Versatile farmland is a finite national resource that should be protected from permanent loss. Conclusion The applicant argues that the "tilted balance" should apply due to the Council's housing supply position. However, the NPPF is clear that this does not apply where there are clear reasons for refusal, such as development in Flood Zones 2 and 3 or the deterioration of irreplaceable habitats like Ancient Woodland, both of which apply here. Even if a planning balance were to be struck, the severe and cumulative harms identified - breaching countryside policy, dangerous flood risk, unacceptable traffic impact, and the permanent loss of vital habitats and high-quality farmland - would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefit of new housing on this unsuitable site. I urge you to uphold the principles of your Local Plan and refuse this damaging and unsustainable application.
Nicholas Black	6 The Sawmills, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2EH United Kingdom	Objections to development I object to this planning application because it is not part of Eastleigh Town Council plans and, hence, not in keeping with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which requires proposals to be planned. The consultation process has been poor with very few enquiries being made to Durley residents, despite them being amongst the very closest to the proposed development. I understand that neither Durley Parish Council nor Winchester City Council were consulted on the proposals – a failing of local protocol. Proposals talk up the development but flawed in many ways. The location is out on a limb in an area designated by ETC as Countryside, with no local amenities and poor access. The development will have both a visual impact on the surrounding area, eg such a large, densely, concentrated estate is not in keeping with the rural environment, and a practical impact; eg creating pressure on local resources (roads, schools and natural environment). I will deal specifically with some points. 1. Access for residents to local services. The proposals offer suggestions on how the estates residents will lead carbon friendly lives. No doubt they will be pleased to have limited parking spaces as they will be busy enjoying their homes, the limited parkland around them and that this will necessitate car sharing. The options for where they work and study will be limited accordingly. I believe a local bus passes every hour between Eastleigh and Southampton but journey times are slow. I do not believe all jobs in the area are along this single bus route. Just because there are schools in the area does not mean there is capacity for further expansion. I understand from other Durley residents that there are shortages of some school places. The marketing for the project distorts reality in the Sustainability Statement. Claiming amenities are a specific distance away as measured by a protractor are unrealistic and misleading. E.g. The National Cycle Route 23 near Southampton Parkway is '5km away'. Perhaps as the crow flies, but are the estate's residents going that way? Google maps quotes 6.2 – 6.3 miles as the shortest distance to Southampton Parkway by bike, which is 10+km each way. I have cycled to Southampton Parkway by bike on several occasions and found many of the roads busy, esp. in the rush hour. 2. Road access into the development and the impact on local traffic. Durley Parish Council monitors road usage through the village and it is an issue raised frequently by its Parishioners. Traffic through the village and at Denham Roundabout has increased significantly in the 24 yrs I have lived here and this will increase further with current

		development projects, eg in Bishops Waltham, Botley and Boorley Green. The proposed development will exacerbate the problem . As a cyclist, I avoid the road from Durley to Denham Roundabout whenever I can as I consider it the most dangerous bit of road in the area. I opt to cycle past Durley Church to get to Horton Heath, Fair Oak and beyond. I go by Chancellors Lane when cycling towards Hedge End. The proposal to put the one vehicular entrance to the proposed development on this stretch of road is deeply flawed. Snakemoor Lane is narrow, with high hedges, a hill and blind corners and there is no footpath/cycleway. Granted there are proposals for another exit point for pedestrians/cyclists from the development, but this does not benefit users from elsewhere. Snakemoor Lane is a narrow lane. There can be vehicular tailbacks from Denham roundabout and, on other occasions, vehicles often drive too quickly. We have a motorhome and we are used to the nearside wing-mirror brushing the hedgerow along that stretch of road when there is oncoming traffic. Traffic turning in and out from the estate would disrupt traffic further and pose greater danger if there is not a clear sightline for other drivers. Besides the cars of residents, there would be larger delivery vehicles and refuse collection vehicles entering and exiting the proposed development. 3. Impact on nature. Little consideration has been given to the potential impact on the local nature. Scorey's Copse, for example, is classed as Ancient Woodland and a Site of Importance to Nature Conservation (SINC). Ancient Woodland has existed since 1600 and so is an irreplaceable habitat and a natural asset. Such woodland is less secure when its connection to other natural habitats is reduced and it becomes isolated. The opportunity for flora and fauna to translocate becomes reduced and the biodiversity potentially compromised. Scorey's Copse is a key feeding ground for bat's, including the Barbastrel Bat, which is one of the UK's rarest mammals and classed in the UK as being at imminent risk of extinction (ref: Bat Conservation Trust). They forage over a wide area so need access to their various foraging and roosting sites. I understand Scorey's Copse also has badgers, another animal that has traditional foraging patterns from long established sets. Both these mammals illustrate the need for connectivity between natural environments. However, other classes of animal and plants could be affected also in this way. Scorey's Copse already has developments from Horton Heath to the northwest and north and the busy Botley Road to the Southwest. It would be closed in further to the South and East by this proposed development, which would limit uncomplicated access between Scorey's Copse and further countryside to just the North East border of the wood. This is not the way to conserve the nature of an ancient woodland and SINC.
Durley Parish Council Collins	HOMEFIELD, SOUTHAMPTON, SO32 2AE	See separate letter.
Simon Amey	Mill House Heathen Street, Durley SOUTHAMPTON Hampshire SO32 2BT United Kingdom	Objections – Planning Ref: O/25/99534 Whilst it's recognised there is a need for new housing, to build on this site, which has so many serious issues is totally irresponsible, for the following reasons: Flood Zone The land lies within Flood Zones 2&3and as such has a high risk of groundwater flooding, pushing onto wildlife and neighbours. Infrastructure

		This extra housing will have a huge impact on local infrastructure such as schools, doctors and utilities. There are already drainage issue within the local area and this will just add to it. Environmental The impact and damage to an already sensitive environment including ancient woodland and feeding area for rare bats would be destroying natural habitat. Farmland The loss of very good farmland, buried under concrete and tarmac, at a time when home grown food is critical. The land is already designated as countryside and should remain so. Possible Contamination A historic refuse heap, a short distance from the site along with waste exemptions from nearby farm, should also be further investigated before any considerations are given to this application.
Jane Calistan	2 The Pines, Durley Street, Durley Hampshire SO32 2AA United Kingdom	I object strongly to this planning application. This land is protected as Countryside in the Eastleigh Borough Local Plan. If built on, the extra 780 extra car journeys per day on what is a rural, narrow road and the already busy Denham's Corner roundabout would create major tailbacks on already congested roads. There are no pavements and high hedges and would be extremely dangerous for any pedestrians. The land is at High Risk of flooding and parts of the land is in Flood Zones 2 and 3. Ground which is a flood plain should not be built on - other developments in the area which were built on flood plains and subsequently flooded should show the stupidity of doing so. Areas in Durley already have enough problems with flooding; added extra surface water will just create more difficulties for residents and yet more pressure on already overloaded local watercourses. There will be damage to a sensitive environment. Scorey's Copse is a Designated Ancient Woodland. We have so little of this type of woodland left and once destroyed, it cannot be replaced. The site is a key feeding ground for a bat population, which includes the rare Barbastelle bat. The site also contains Very Good quality farmland, which is used for food production. In an increasing uncertain world, we need more food security, not more houses built in the wrong place, increased flooding risks, increased traffic on totally unsuitable roads, increased pressure on already overstretched services. Everything is wrong with this application. Please reject this application and protect the little bit of greenery we still possess.
Gillian Mulley	1 Gregory Lane Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2BS United Kingdom	Re: Planning application 0/25/99534 Land at Denhams Corner, Snakemoor Lane, Eastleigh. I wish to object to this planning application for the following reasons: 1. Snakemoor Lane is a rural lane leading to Durley. I live in Durley and go along Snakemoor Lane many times each week. It is a narrow road, with no room to overtake a cyclist for example, and it is very dangerous for pedestrians. It is totally unsuitable to take an extra 780 car journeys a day. It has high hedges on both sides of the road which are important for wild life – I would object most strongly if it is proposed to remove the hedge on the side of the lane adjacent to the proposed site. I would also object most strongly if there is any move to put in a pavement or street lighting. This is a rural lane – we don't want it to become suburbia. 2. I understand this site for the proposed housing is designated 'countryside' in the local plan. There are already thousands of houses going up in the immediate area of Boorley Park and Horton Heath. Some green gaps must be preserved – otherwise the whole area will become one huge housing estate. This site is an important green gap – it must be kept as countryside and the local plan must be adhered to.

		3. This is speculative development. If permission is granted for this site it will set a precedent, and applications will be made to develop every field in the area which has road frontage.
Ian Rutherford	6 Mill Way, Parsonage Lane Durley Hampshire SO32 2AD United Kingdom	I strongly object to this proposed development. The proposed site is not allocated for development in the Eastleigh Borough Council Adopted Local Plan, it is outside of the settlement boundary, and it is not identified as a preferred site in the emerging Local Plan. If a decision to approve this application was made, then it would undermine the whole planning system and the Local Plan. Eastleigh already has a 5+ year housing land supply so they have time to find more suitable sites that don't disregard previous plans and previously made agreements to protect our environment. The site is a designated Countryside Gap separating Horton Heath and Durley, which is essential to retain a rural setting and protect wildlife corridors. The area is known to have badger setts within close proximity at Scoreys Copse, there is abundant bird life in the area including red kites and buzzards, rare bats, deer and reptiles. Durley is a rural village and this development of 150 homes is a very large development right on the boundary of Durley – this development would have major traffic implications along our narrow rural roads and lanes. Snakemoor Lane is a "Lane" which is narrow and dangerous with poor visibility and no provision for pedestrians or cyclists - it is positively dangerous to walk along Snakemoor Lane from the proposed pedestrian exit point to the nearest footpath. The road is deemed unsuitable for HGV's which suggests that the Highways department fully understands all of this. For traffic joining the road from the new estate, there would be significant risk and I do not see that this has been adequately considered at all. This development site is not just a farmers field, it is adjacent to ancient woodland which is irreplaceable and that is why it has previously been considered as a "Countryside Gap" on the Local Plan. The village of Durley is surrounded by new development from Boorley Green, Bishops Waltham, One Horton Heath etc. Approving this development would have a massive detrimental impact on Durley and its rural nature. The infrastructure to support these new homes simply does not exist. The sewage and drainage system in Durley is already crumbling. We already have an exceptionally high number of sewage discharges from the pumping station in Heathen Street and the new site would almost certainly force more water into this system. Parts of the site will be lying right on the edge of Flood Zones 2 & 3 and the development will clearly add to the flood risk as it removes the natural soakaway above the stream. The developers solution of releasing excess stormwater into the ditch that feeds the River Hamble headwaters is just shifting the problem downstream and shows reckless disregard for the environment. Please refuse this application!
David Bushby	Little Lions Durley Brook Road Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AR United Kingdom	I wish to object to the above outline application for up to 150 dwellings at Denham's Corner, Horton Heath. The scheme conflicts with the Eastleigh Borough Local Plan 2016-2036 and with the National Planning Policy Framework (2023) Damage to irreplaceable countryside in Scorey's Copse Ancient Woodland. This includes a badger set and bats. I understand the land is outside the settlement boundary and entirely within the Horton Heath/Durley Countryside Gap. The road suggestion onto Snakemoor road is very inappropriate due to the road being very narrow and on small hill/blind bend. It's difficult already for 2 large cars to pass let alone the bus and many tractors that regularly pass by. Cyclists try to avoid the road especially at this end due to how dangerous it is.

		I am unsure if any consideration has been taken into consideration to Horton One traffic which will also impact the traffic which is also close to Durley Junior school which blocks up at pick up time. Sections of hedgerow would be removed for access There is a high flood risk. This area regularly floods and Southern Water are regularly in attendance. Our phone lines are regularly disrupted and we are advised by open reach that usually this is because of water in the lines. The proposal would dramatically urbanise protected countryside Conclusion I wish to object to this application for the reasons mentioned above
Emma Broadhurst	The Byre, Durley Street, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2AB United Kingdom	I strongly object to the proposed development off Snakemoor Lane. This lane, the connecting Durley Street and Durley Brook Road that run the length of Durley village are not suitable for the current volume of traffic, let alone an increase that this development would bring. They are already intolerably dangerous. These roads contain the village primary school and community centre. Children walk up and down to catch school buses or to get to the recreation ground; however, they have no protection as there is no footpath. I have seen children jump out of the way of cars in the morning. Houses on the road have been struck too. This development would doubtless increase the traffic through the village which would increase the problem. I look at the children walking along the road and believe it's a matter of time before one is hit by a vehicle. The traffic on this road needs reducing/calming, it would be totally irresponsible to increase it further.
Ryan Stokes	29 Red Oaks Dr, Fareham, England, SO31 1DQ Hampshire SO31 1DQ United Kingdom	As I don't live in the area, I'm commenting purely from a commuting and access perspective. A friend of mine lives on Snakemoor Lane, the traffic to which is already awful via Hedge End or Boorley Green. What used to be a beautiful countryside-styled area has now been filled with houses. Access from Snakemoor Lane (which is a tight country road) for over 300+ cars to be added to the area is delusional. It will ruin the scenery, reduce the value of the properties on Snakemoor Lane and will create dangerous levels of traffic nearby.
Jeannie Medd	Netherview, Parsonage Lane, Durley Southampton Hampshire SO322AD United Kingdom	I write to convey my strong objection to this planning application on the following grounds. 1. Given the extensive loss of important habitat for many species of insects, plants, birds, and mammals that has already been lost in this area, it is imperative that this important site is maintained as farmland, and woodland as in Scoreys copse. 2. There is no suitable access point onto Snakemoor lane. The statistics show increasing traffic both now and once current developments are built nearby 3. Local resources, health, both GP and community services, education and social services are all working at full capacity, and in many cases long waiting lists. 4. The loss of attractive landscape , hedgerows and wildlife is proven to be detrimental to human health. 5. The site falls on the boundary of Horton Heath and Durley. Building here would impact negatively on the rural nature of Durley village.
Nick Fitzgerald	Thumpers Retreat , Oakmore Park, Snakemoor Lane, Durley Hampshire SO32 2NU United Kingdom	I wish to strongly object to Planning Application O/25/99534 on the following grounds. Spatial strategy & Countryside Gap Policy conflict: Eastleigh Local Plan 2016-36 Sections 7-8 NPPF 174(a); NPPF S15 Under the local plan this proposed development area has long stood as Countryside, Holistically EBC has rightly judged that despite the immense pressure to build yet more housing in the borough, with the exponential growth of housing developments in the locality to

		date and a further 2500 underway, must be curtailed by a 'green gap' to prevent convergence and urban sprawl, The EBC LP 2016-2036, states large-scale housing is not permitted under Policy S5. This is a solid decision by EBC based on legislation. . Sustainability, due to the remote nature of the site, cars will be a must for residents, if 44% / 68 of the homes are to be affordable social housing owning a car may not be achievable, this might effectively create a 'marooned' effect for residents with no shops, facilities nearby. The preference for cycling would be a very dangerous option. The developer suggests that the "tilted balance" should apply if 5 year land supply not yet evidenced. The NPPF states this does NOT apply where there are clear reasons for refusal, for example building in Flood Zones 2 and 3 or the deterioration of irreplaceable habitats like Ancient Woodland, This site is also not planning led, quite the opposite. Alternative sites should be secured by EBC that do not have so many compelling reasons to decline. Harm done to the rural landscape, loss of quality farmland, damage and loss of habitat to protected species of animals inc. Barbastrelle bat are clear grounds for refusal. Our property 'Thumpers Retreat' borders the proposal and can clearly be seen to the top right of the applicants plan, the claim that the site could not be viewed from this direction is not accurate the land rises sharply coupled with 2 : 1/2 storey homes it would raise them well above the tree line and visible for some distance in 3 directions. Ours is one of only 4 homes given planning permission on the old 22 acre Oakmore School site, due to the sensitive, ancient woodland and vulnerable wetland nature of the site, the same size land they are now proposing 155 dense population of homes. Previous relevant planning decisions: Application F/20/87925 29/05 2020 Plan to build 5 HOUSES on Land at Denhams corner (opposite this proposal) rightly DECLINED by EBC DECLINED by the planning inspectorate on appeal in 2020 Quote from the Inspector "The proposals represent an unjustified form of development within an area of designated countryside which, owing to its layout, scale, design and siting, as well as the associated loss of existing mature trees and vegetation, would have an unacceptably harmful and detrimentally urbanising impact upon the character of the site and that of the immediate surrounding area. - Well said Inspector, he agreed with EBC ... based on application for 5 NOT 155 Houses... Road Danger: trying to exit Oakmore Lane onto Snakemoor lane requires nerves of steel, cars driving in excess of 40mph in both directions mean that split second timing is required to safely exit and enter, accidents and near misses are all too frequent, our entrance is often flooded around 500m downhill of the proposed entrance on the same side, I suggest the site entrance/exit is even more dangerous due to the proximity to Denhams round about and they are on a blind bend! With very conservative estimates of c800 vehicle movement p/d The risk to children, pedestrians or cyclists as well as motorists is way too high. The rest of Snakemoor lane is very narrow, limited verges or footpaths and often floods, is unsuitable for HGV 's with on pavement parking at school times causing blind passing. Flooding, make no mistake this area floods, the mitigation strategies are questionable in practice, 'you can't push water uphill' inevitably additional strain will be placed on already overburdened storm drainage system (called out in the developers notes) I draw similarities to a development in Wickham (Bishops Gardens) of 120 houses on Winchester road built on cleared woodland, the villagers contested the flooding fears, mitigation strategies met the requirements but sure enough when it rains hard torrents of water exit the estate onto the Winchester road overcoming the drains and for the first time flooding properties downhill including my own.
--	--	---

		Finally please protect the environment here high grade farmland and Scoreys Copse Ancient woodland from the 1600's and the animal residents has survived and thrived, it will not do so with 155 houses and the inevitable trampling and enjoyment of it's new neighbours . I urge the Council Planners to continue to act responsibly and reject this completely inappropriate application.
Katherine & David Phillips	Mulberry House, Oakmore Park Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32 2NU United Kingdom	I wish to object to the application for up to 150 dwellings at Denham's Corner, Horton Heath. The scheme conflicts with the Eastleigh Borough Local Plan 2016-2036 plus the National Planning Policy Framework (2023). In addition our local area cannot cope with anymore housing developments. Our schools are full. Our doctors surgeries are full. The roads are too busy at peak times. We have ongoing and repeated issues with Southern Water and an increase in power cuts. This proposed housing development would stretch these resources even more. The Botley Park development continues to grow. The surrounding areas are desperately trying to hold onto their natural environments to protect wildlife, climate change and protect the fabric of rural Hampshire. This development will cause irreversible damage to countryside in Scorey's Copse Ancient Woodland including badger sets and bats. Snakemoor Lane is not suitable due to the road being very narrow and on a small hill with blind bends. It's already difficult for two large vehicles to pass simultaneously let alone the regular use by buses and tractors. There is a high flood risk. This area regularly floods and Southern Water are regularly in attendance. The impact on wildlife and their natural habitats will be lost for good.
Chris Hayward	Durley Meadows, Heathen Street DURLEY Hampshire SO32 2BT United Kingdom	This land is a countryside gap and should remain so, it also impedes on Durley which is has already has had the impact of Borley Green and other neighbouring developments . it will destroy the wildlife which will destroy bats and other animals. It has already been marked as a high flood risk , even if the developer put in ponds the excess water has to go into existing water courses causing even more flooding of polluted water elsewhere . Developers don't care about lives once they have made their money. It would put a huge strain on our roads 780 cars which will just add to the existing addition traffic which other developments have created. Snakemoor Lane is a rural lane and should be keep that way. Denhams Corner is already a nightmare due the already increased traffic. Keep the countryside green belt gap. Surely the building has to stop. we do not need plan breaking building. Its good farm land, people and animals need to be fed, Its ancient woodland and home to Barbastelle bats
L Fletcher	PEAR TREE COTTAGE BOTLEY ROAD HORTON HEATH EASTLEIGH SO50 7DT	I am objecting to this application. Firstly, because I dont see how it's fair that the Victorian woodland, that is home to lots of wildlife, should be disturbed/ destroyed , when a few yards along in the same woods, there was up roar about trees being felled and yet it's ok to happen a bit further along? Pedestrian walk way or not, it will still be ruining a natural habitat for wildlife, such as bats and newts, which may I add are common in those woods and surrounding area. Secondly, I don't see the need for a development of 150 homes, when One Horton Heath a development of 3000 or so new builds, is happening at the other end of Blind Lane. What is the need for it? Just add the 150 to a field down Chalcroft if we desperately need them that much. This area has taken its fair share of new builds now.
Carole Davis	Wee Hame Durley Brook Road Durley SO32 2AR	See separate letter.

Mr & Mrs Combs	Millstones, Mincingfield Lane, Durley	My wife and I object most strongly to the prospect of up to 150 dwellings being built under the proposed Planning Application 0/25/99534 at Denhams Corner, Snakemoor Lane, SO322BW. The reasons we object are; This land is not a 'brown field site' and should be left green, natural and unlit for the benefit of wildlife. Snakemoor Lane has a rain water run off that crosses the road and frequently has a water splash across it in the winter. The proposed estate vehicle exit and entrance onto Snakemoor Lane is dangerous with limited view of main road traffic. Our roads are overcrowded as it is. Saying there will be a transport hub is nonsense. Public transport in this area is in severe decline and will not satisfy transport needs to any future residents. What will happen to all the sewage? The system is overwhelmed as it is. There are insufficient Doctors, Hospitals, Dentists and Schools in the area. Above all, green field spaces between villages need to be preserved.
----------------	---	--